From: Auerbach, John (DPH)

Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 3:41 PM

To: Han, Linda (DPH)

Cc: ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

**Subject:** RE: retest data

Would it be possible to ask for someone to review this and summarize the findings? The questions we are asking are:

\*after the December finding, how many retests of AD's

work were done?

\*of the retests performed how many had results that were

consistent with or within the range of AD's original tests?

From: Han, Linda (DPH)

Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 2:30 PM

**To:** Auerbach, John (DPH) **Cc:** ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH) **Subject:** FW: retest data

I did get the retest data, but I haven't had a chance to look at it yet. See attached. Linda

From: Dole, William (DPH)

Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 11:29 AM

**To:** Han, Linda (DPH) **Subject:** RE: retest data

Linda,

Here is the data.

Kip

<< File: forLindaDrugsSentForResubmission2003To2012.XLS >>

From: Han, Linda (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 5:45 PM

To: Dole, William (DPH)

Cc: Caloggero, Dina (DPH); Han, Linda (DPH)

Subject: FW: retest data

Hi Kip:

Can you generate the table of all retested samples and their matching original tests? Limiting the list to only those original specimens for which AD was listed as first or second chemist.

Let's discuss on Tues.

Thanks, and have a good weekend!

## Linda

From: Han, Linda (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 5:43 PM

To: Levin, Donna (DPH); Auerbach, John (DPH)

**Cc:** ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH) **Subject:** RE: retest data

Yes, we did test many others outside of the 90 (500+ retests). I can ask our IT person to compile the data for initial and repeat testing results for any specimen ever associated with Annie Dookhan. He is gone for the day so hopefully he can do this on Tues.

Linda

From: Levin, Donna (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 5:33 PM **To:** Auerbach, John (DPH); Han, Linda (DPH)

**Cc:** ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH) **Subject:** RE: retest data

Linda - we did retest others outside of the 90 correct? I thought we did some federal cases and cases from counties other than Norfolk. If we did, it would be important to add those. To my knowledge, everything we retested came out confirmed.

Donna E. Levin General Counsel Massachusetts Department of Public Health 250 Washington Street Boston, MA 02108 617-624-5220

WEBSITE: www.mass.gov/dph

BLOG: HTTP://PUBLICHEALTH.BLOG.STATE.MA.US

From: Auerbach, John (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 5:30 PM **To:** Han, Linda (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

**Cc:** ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH) **Subject:** RE: retest data

Also, Linda. Sorry to say there are a couple more questions.:

- 1. do we only care about net weights and not grow weight? If so, why?
- 2. two of the samples don't have net weights: they only have gross weights that are different from each other. Why?

From: Han, Linda (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 4:56 PM

**To:** Auerbach, John (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

Cc: ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH)

Subject: retest data

<< File: quincy wellesley 90 samples with repeat tests.xls >> << File: quincy wellesley 90 samples repeat tests weight details.pdf >>

Attached are an excel spreadsheet and a pdf.

The Excel spreadsheet has 4 worksheets. Worksheet 1 ("Sheet1") has a full listing of the 90 samples (44 cases). These are the colored cells. The key to the colors and the abbreviations are in the bottom left corner of the worksheet.

To the right of the colored cells are the corresponding results from the retests (black and white), which were only done for the first 10 specimens listed (5 cases). We could only find IT records for retests for 5 cases out of the original 44.

The lab number for a retest is the same number as the original, with an "R" after it.

For the retested samples, we are interested in the net weights, which are expressed to the hundredth gram in the original data, but are rounded off in the re-test data (IT issue). To capture the original net weight to the hundredth, our IT person printed out the weights for each sample (original weight and retest weight). This is in the pdf file. For some of the samples, there was no net weight recorded in the IT system, presumably due to small amounts of material available for testing. Otherwise the net weights were very close from original to re-test, and the drug IDs were identical for all 10 specimens.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Linda

From: Auerbach, John (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 3:48 PM **To:** Han, Linda (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

Cc: ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH)

Subject: RE: retests

That would be terrific! I must have asked in a confusing way.

From: Han, Linda (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 3:47 PM

To: Auerbach, John (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

Cc: ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH)

Subject: RE: retests

To clarify (hopefully!):

We can figure out which of the 90 have been retested, and the retest results, and what police dept or DA brought us the retested samples.

From: Auerbach, John (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 3:42 PM **To:** Han, Linda (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

Cc: ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH)

Subject: RE: retests

I am referring to the re-tests that we did before the transfer. Don't we know how many of the 90 tests that we did? I had been hearing that we had this as part of the effort to cooperate with the Das who were concerned about those cases.

Donna – do you know about this?

From: Han, Linda (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 3:35 PM

To: Auerbach, John (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

**Cc:** ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH)

Subject: RE: retests

I don't have this. This would be information that only the EOPS drug lab staff would have. Julie also might have a subset of that info. I can only capture the information that is collected in our drug lab database.

That said, we'll proceed with collecting what info we can from the database. There will be some holes left when we are done, but we should be able to get the critical info like what was retested and how did the repeat test results compare with the original.

lh

From: Auerbach, John (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 3:30 PM **To:** Han, Linda (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

Cc: ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH)

Subject: RE: retests

No. I just meant to whom did we submit the retest information (e.g. the Norfolk DA).

From: Han, Linda (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 3:19 PM

To: Auerbach, John (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

Cc: ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH)

Subject: RE: retests

Yes, maybe sooner.

What is meant by "locations sent?" Is this the dates of transfer of the specimen from police to evidence officer to safe to chemist to police officer?

From: Auerbach, John (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 3:00 PM **To:** Han, Linda (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

Cc: ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH)

Subject: RE: retests

Would it be available by Tuesday?

From: Han, Linda (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 2:59 PM

To: Auerbach, John (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

**Cc:** ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH)

Subject: RE: retests

I will work on this with our IT people. I don't have any existing information about this other than the list of 90, but I can see if IT can pull all results associated with each specimen from the database. This wont be done in the next 15 minutes!

From: Auerbach, John (DPH)

**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2012 2:56 PM

To: Han, Linda (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)

Cc: ValdesLupi, Monica (DPH)

**Subject:** retests

Can I get a full report on the specimens that were part of the 90 tests (or more) that were retested in order to provide the DAs or other lawyers? How many tests were done, how many had the same results as the original tests as well as dates, locations sent, etc. I need this pretty quickly to get to EOPS.

J